IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1658 OF 2005

Kalavati Anil Patel ... Applicant

V/s

Mr. Sureshkumar Jaichandji & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Shailesh Naidu i/b M/s C.R. Naidu & Co. for applicant
Mr. V.B.Kondedeshmukh, A.P.P. for the State.

ALONG WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1675 OF 2005

Kalavati Anil Patel ... Applicant

V/s

M/s S.K. Patni Textiles & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Shailesh Naidu i/b M/s C.R. Naidu & Co. for applicant
Mr.Rajesh More, A.P.P. for the State.

ALONG WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1680 OF 2005

Kalavati Anil Patel ... Applicant

V/s

Mr. Arjan H. Keswani & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Shailesh Naidu i/b M/s C.R. Naidu & Co. for applicant
Mr. P.V.Nichani & Co. for respondent No.1
Mr. S.V.Gajare, A.P.P. for the State.

ALONG WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1681 OF 2005

Kalavati Anil Patel ... Applicant

V/s

Jawaharlal L. Agicha & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Shailesh Naidu i/b M/s C.R. Naidu & Co. for applicant
Mr. P.V.Nichani & Co. for respondent No.1
Mr. Rajesh More,APP for the State.

Coram : V.K.Tahilramani,J.
Date : 14th June 2005.

P.C. :

1 Heard the learned advocate for the applicant,respondent No.1 and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2 The applicants has prayed for quashing of process issued against her in criminal case no.472/S/2003,306/S/2003, 570/S/2002,1156/S/2002 which are pending in the courts of learned Magistrate at Esplanade, Kurla, Mulund and Mazgaon respectively.In the said case, process has been issued against the applicant under Section 138 read with 141 of the Negotiable Instruments Act,

3 For the reasons recorded in my order dated 8th June 2005 passed in criminal application No.645 of 2005 and order dated 13th June 2005in criminal writ petition No.213 of 2005, the applicants has remedy of preferring revision against the order of Magistrate issuing process. Hence, in my view, it would be appropriate that the applicant prefers

revision before the concerned Sessions Court against the order of the Magistrate issuing process.

4 Liberty is granted to the applicant to prefer revision before the concerned Sessions Court.

5 On the necessary revision being preferred by the applicant before the concerned Sessions Court, the concerned Sessions Court shall dispose of the same on merits after hearing necessary parties.

6 Criminal applications are disposed of.

(V.K.Tahilramani,J.)